

UNITED STATES JEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/691.434	08/02/96	YAMAZAKI		. 8	0756-1551
MM31/0831 SIXBEY FRIEDMAN LEEDOM & FERGUSON SUITE 600 2010 CORPORATE RIDGE			331 🎵	EXAMINER WILCZEWSKI, M	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
MCLEAN VA 2	22102			2822	
			*	DATE MAILED:	08/31/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. Ap

08/691,434

Applicant(s)

Yamazaki et al.

Office Action Summary Examiner

M. Wilczewski

Group Art Unit 2822

	I	
	ı	

X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 8, 1998	·							
∑ This action is FINAL.								
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1								
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to responsible application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of ti 37 CFR 1.136(a).	and within the period for response will cause the							
Disposition of Claims								
	is/are pending in the application.							
Of the above, claim(s) 8, 11-13, 15, 21-23, 26-55, and 62-73	is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
☐ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.							
X Claim(s) 16-20, 24, 25, 56-61, and 74								
Claim(s)								
☐ Claims are								
Application Papers								
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	w, PTO-948.							
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by	y the Examiner.							
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is	s 🗀 pproved 🗔 disapproved.							
\Box The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
$\hfill\Box$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).							
	ority documents have been							
received.								
🛛 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)	08/160,909							
\square received in this national stage application from the Internat	tional Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
*Certified copies not received:								
$\hfill \square$ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under	35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
Attachment(s)								
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892								
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)								
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413								
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948								
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152								
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLL	LOWING PAGES							

Art Unit: 1107

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election without traverse of the species of claims 16-20, 24, and 25 and subspecies 56-61 in Paper No. 24 is acknowledged. Claims 11-13, 15, 21-23, 26-55, and 62-73 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to the non-elected species of the invention.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 08/160,909, filed on February 18, 1994.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

In light of Applicants' remarks presented in amendment G filed on June 8, 1998, the rejection of claims 56, 57, and 59-61 under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, has been withdrawn.

Art Unit: 1107

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 16-20, 24, 25, and 74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Begin et al. In view of Miyachi et al., Nakayama et al., and Kawasaki et al., further in view of Codama et al., all of record, further in view of Pressley, U.S. Patent 4,475,027, newly cited.

Begin et al. disclose an apparatus for processing semiconductor wafers which includes satellite reaction chambers 60, 62, 64, and 66 disposed around the periphery of central chamber 14, see figure 1. A robot assembly 16 comprising arms 18, 20, and 22 is disposed in central chamber 14. Assembly 16 moves the substrate 12 to any position within the apparatus. Begin et al. lack anticipation only of disclosing that reaction chambers 60, 62, 64, and 66 comprise an ion introducing apparatus and a laser processing apparatus. However, apparatuses used for irradiating an amorphous silicon layer for dehalogenating and hydrogenating the layer, etching, and plasma doping are well known in the art, see Miyachi et al., Kawasaki et al., and Nakayama et al., respectively.

Miyachi et al., in particular, disclose an apparatus which comprises a film-forming chamber 1 for forming an amorphous semiconductor film and a dehalogenating-hydrogenating

Art Unit: 1107

chamber 2, see figure 5, for example. The two chambers are combined by a conveying device 13. The substrates 10 move between the two chambers without being exposed to outside air. Note in Example 14 that the dehalogenation-hydrogenation is preferably performed by light irradiation using, for example, an ultraviolet laser, a visible light laser, or a carbon dioxide laser, see column 18, lines 29-43. Miyachi et al. lack anticipation only of using a rectangular-shaped laser beam having an elongated cross-section and of moving the substrate in a direction orthogonal to the laser beam during the irradiating step.

Pressley discloses an laser processing apparatus which comprises a rectangularly-shaped laser beam in which the laser beam is scanned by moving the beam relative to the substrate, see column 7, lines 4-9. Since the apparatus of Pressley permits uniform laser irradiation of semiconducting materials, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a laser beam having a rectangular cross-section in the dehalogenating-hydrogenating chamber of Miyachi et al. In addition, it is obvious from the teachings of Pressley that the substrates could be alternatively moved with respect to the laser beam in the known apparatus of Miyachi et al.

Codama discloses a method of fabricating a thin film transistor which includes the steps of depositing an amorphous silicon layer; etching the silicon layer, the gate layer and the gate insulating layer; plasma doping the silicon layer to form source and drain regions, see column 1, lines 42-46; and hydrogenating the silicon layer. Therefore, in light of the semiconductor device manufacturing process of Codama, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to include a

Art Unit: 1107

laser processing apparatus and an ion introducing apparatus in the known multi-chambered apparatus of Begin et al. In order to fabricate the thin film transistor of Codama.

Claims 56-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Begin et al. in view of Miyachi et al., Nakayama et al., and Kawasaki et al., further in view of Codama et al., all of record, further in view of Hashizume, JP 03-286518, newly cited.

Begin et al. disclose an apparatus for processing semiconductor wafers which includes satellite reaction chambers 60, 62, 64, and 66 disposed around the periphery of central chamber 14, see figure 1. A robot assembly 16 comprising arms 18, 20, and 22 is disposed in central chamber 14. Assembly 16 moves the substrate 12 to any position within the apparatus. Begin et al. lack anticipation only of disclosing that reaction chambers 60, 62, 64, and 66 comprise an ion introducing apparatus and a laser processing apparatus. However, apparatuses used for irradiating an amorphous silicon layer for dehalogenating and hydrogenating the layer, etching, and plasma doping are well known in the art, see Miyachi et al., Kawasaki et al., and Nakayama et al., respectively.

Miyachi et al., in particular, disclose an apparatus which comprises a film-forming chamber 1 for forming an amorphous semiconductor film and a dehalogenating-hydrogenating chamber 2, see figure 5, for example. The two chambers are combined by a conveying device 13. The substrates 10 move between the two chambers without being exposed to outside air. Note in Example 14 that the dehalogenation-hydrogenation is preferably performed by light irradiation using, for example, an ultraviolet laser, a visible light laser, or a

Art Unit: 1107

carbon dioxide laser, see column 18, lines 29-43. Miyachi et al. lack anticipation only of using a rectangular-shaped laser beam having an elongated cross-section and of moving the substrate in a direction orthogonal to the laser beam during the irradiating step.

Hashizume discloses a laser processing apparatus which comprises a laser beam having a rectangular shape in which the laser beam is scanned by moving the beam relative to the substrate, see figure 2 and pages 7-9 of the translation. Note in figure 4, Hashizume shows that a rectangular-shaped laser beam having a width greater than a "substantially" square substrate is scanned along the substrate surface. The use of a rectangularly-shaped laser beam, as in the method of Hashizume, eliminates overlapping regions and permits uniform irradiation of the silicon layer, see page 7 of the translation. Since the rectangular-shaped laser beam of Hashizume permits uniform laser irradiation of semiconducting materials, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use a laser beam having a rectangular crosssection in the dehalogenating-hydrogenating chamber of Miyachi et al. In addition, it is obvious from the teachings of Hashizume that the substrates could be moved with respect to the laser beam in the known apparatus of Miyachi et al. It is noted that Hashizume does not disclose the dimensions of either the substrate or laser beam, however, in light of the generic teaching of Hashizume to use a rectangularly-shaped laser beam having a width greater than that of the irradiated substrate, these dimensions are not deemed to patentably distinguish the claimed method from that of Hashizume. In addition, Hashizume discloses a laser beam scanning

Art Unit: 1107

technique, however, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art that, alternatively, the substrate could be moved with respect to the laser beam.

Codama discloses a method of fabricating a thin film transistor which includes the steps of depositing an amorphous silicon layer; etching the silicon layer, the gate layer and the gate insulating layer; plasma doping the silicon layer to form source and drain regions, see column 1, lines 42-46; and hydrogenating the silicon layer. Therefore, in light of the semiconductor device manufacturing process of Codama, it would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to include a laser processing apparatus and an ion introducing apparatus in the known multi-chambered apparatus of Begin et al. in order to fabricate the thin film transistor of Codama.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed June 8, 1998, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants have argued that none of the references of record discloses an ion introducing apparatus in a multi-chambered system. However, the advantages of multi-chambered systems are well known to those skilled in the art, as evidenced by Begin et al. Begin et al. clearly teach that the disclosed multi-chambered apparatus is used for fabricating semiconductor chips (column 1) and that processes used in the fabrication of semiconductor chips can be performed in the processing chambers of the multi-chambered apparatus, for example, the deposition of layers, the etching of layers, and/or the annealing of deposited layers. Several secondary references have been cited showing that ion introducing apparatuses and laser

Art Unit: 1107

processing apparatuses are known in the art and used in the fabrication of semiconductor chips. Begin et al. provides the motivation for using multi-chambered apparatuses, that is, preventing exposure of the semiconductor substrate to air during fabrication of the semiconductor chip, and the disclosure of Begin et al. discloses that the processing chambers can be chosen as needed for the fabrication of the semiconductor chip. Therefore, it is argued that a prima facie case of obviousness has been made and that there is motivation to incorporate both a laser processing apparatus and an ion introducing apparatus in the multi-chambered apparatus of Begin et al., especially in light of the known advantages of multi-chambered apparatuses. Moreover, Codama discloses a method of fabricating a thin film transistor which includes the steps of plasma doping and hydrogenation of a semiconductor layer. In light of the semiconductor device fabrication process of Codama, it would have been obvious to customize the apparatus of Begin et al. to include an ion introducing chamber and a laser processing chamber in order to practice the known method of Codama. Therefore, the rejection is maintained and it is deemed that proper motivation for the combination of references relied upon in the rejection has been provided. To properly reject the claims under 35 U. S. C. 103, it is not necessary to show the incorporation of an ion introducing apparatus in a multi-chambered system.

Art Unit: 1107

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to M. Wilczewski whose telephone number is (703) 308-2771.

M. Wilczewski Primary Examiner

MW